

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,197	12/03/2003	Steven C. Quarre	044182 307083	7284
Pillebury Wint	7590 03/22/200°	7	EXAM	IINER
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP Intellectual Property Group Suite 200 11682 El Camino Real. San Diego, CA 92130-2092			EARLY, MICHAEL JACOBY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MC	ONTHS	03/22/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		<i>8 f</i> Y	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/728,197	QUARRE, STEVEN C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Michael J. Early	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	nuary 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 39-41</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) <u>1-20,32-37 and 39-41</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) <u>31</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	1 11	ed.	
2.			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	γ (PTO-413)	
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	такенк Аррисакоп	
Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/728,197

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itakura et al. (US 2003/0019216 A1).

Regarding claim 21, Itakura et al. disclose of an apparatus that is capable a method of cooling a charge-coupled device (80 – laser diode) wherein the method comprises providing a cavity (void space within metallic package [5]) in a housing (5 – metallic package), said cavity adapted to house said charge-coupled device (as seen in Figure 6A); coupling said charge-coupled device to a cold side (surface located between thermoelectric chip [25] and second board [24]) of a thermoelectric cooling device (25 – thermoelectric chip) (as seen in Figure 6B); coupling a hot side (surface between located thermoelectric chip [25] and first board [22]) of said thermoelectric cooling device to a transfer plate (22 – first board) (as seen in Figure 6B); and sealing said cavity (as seen in Figure 6A); said sealing operable to provide a substantially environmentally-tight moisture barrier for said charged-coupled device (it is essential for

Application/Control Number: 10/728,197

Art Unit: 3744

this type of apparatus to operate in dry conditions because of the performance and reliability problems associated with operating in moist environments).

Itakura et al. do not expressly teach a charge-coupled device.

Itakura et al. do however teach of a thermoelectric module that is used to cool a laser diode. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that the means for cooling a laser diode are applicable for cooling a charge-coupled device (CCD).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the existing apparatus of Itakura et al. by using a laser diode in place of the charge-coupled device, because the prior art of Itakura et al. meet the structural limitations associated with the present application and furthermore, the use of a thermoelectric module to cool an electrical component (i.e. charge-coupled device, laser diode, etc.) is well known in the art.

Regarding claim 22, Itakura et al. disclose interposing a spacer (6 – heat transfer block) between said charge-coupled device and said cold side of said thermoelectric cooling device (as seen in Figure 6A).

Regarding claim 23, Itakura et al. disclose said interposing spacer between said charge-coupled device and said cold side of said thermoelectric cooling device comprises selectively dimensioning said spacer to maximize a surface area of contact between said charge-coupled device and said cold side of said thermoelectric cooling device (As previously disclosed, the heat transfer block [6] is able to maximize the surface area between the laser diode [80] and cold side of the thermoelectric chip [25]; Figure 6A).

Regarding claim 24, Itakura et al. disclose said interposing spacer between said chargecoupled device and said cold side of said thermoelectric cooling device comprises selectively dimensioning said spacer to position said hot side of said thermoelectric cooling device in a predetermined location relative to said charge-coupled device (as seen in Figures 6A, 6B).

Regarding claim 25, Itakura et al. disclose cooling said hot side of said thermoelectric cooling device (this is performed as heat is transferred from the hot to the cold side of the thermoelectric module; paragraph 0019).

Regarding claim 26, Itakura et al. disclose said cooling comprises transferring heat generated by said thermoelectric cooling device from said charge-coupled device (see paragraph 0019 and furthermore, the general purpose of a thermoelectric cooling device is to remove heat generated by an electronic device).

Regarding claim 27, Itakura et al. disclose said sealing comprises applying a conformal coating (71 – first solder layer).

Regarding claim 28, Itakura et al. disclose said sealing is operable to prevent moisture from penetrating said cavity (it is essential for this type of apparatus to operate in dry conditions because of the performance and reliability problems associated with operating in moist environments).

Regarding claim 29, Itakura et al. disclose interposing a thermal barrier (22 – first board) between said housing and said transfer plate (as seen in Figure 6B).

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itakura et al. in view of Swiatosz (US 4,253,515).

Itakura et al. do not expressly disclose details related to an epoxy laminate.

Art Unit: 3744

Swiatosz teaches a mounting process using epoxy laminate material to be old in the art (see col. 4, lines 15-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the existing system of Itakura et al. by incorporating epoxy laminate for mounting and isolation purposes (see Specification, page 4, lines 17-22), as taught by Swiatosz, to provide optimum isolation and insulation, and minimum separation between surfaces connected, thus making the system more reliable.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-20 and 32-41 are allowed.

Claim 31 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 1/5/07, with respect to claims 1 and 11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 and 11 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/728,197

Art Unit: 3744

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Early whose telephone number is (571) 272-3681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJE 3/14/07 Michael J. Early Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3744 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

m/25